

We may be reached on Prodigy at RSJH07A

Thanks to sys-op Ed Bohlman's generosity, we have a New Age Monitor Electronic Bulletin Board that is open 24 hours a day. Please download no software, but data files may be uploaded to this board at 313-693-4838. I have posted some back *Monitors* and from time post posting current information on the New Age Movement and would appreciate input from you that will in turn be used in my books and newsletters. See you on line and set your communications software to X-Modem!

Constance Cumbey's

NEW AGE MONITOR

Pointe Publishers, Inc.
Mark Spaulding, President
P.O. Box 3078
CenterLine, Michigan 48015-0078

Copyright (c) 1991 by Constance E. Cumbey. All Rights Reserved

August 1991

Dear Reader:

This month I am featuring a guest editorial from fellow Michigander and *Monitor* friend Debbie Fenech who has made, in my opinion, the best analysis of the Passantino's work. I have sat on this for awhile, but think you need "the other side of the news." The Passantino's made abundantly clear what I had already suspected -- the primary focus on the book -- indeed the only reason it was written was to attack me. Since I continue to feel its reverberations and it has given such great aid and comfort to the neo-Pagan community (as evidenced by Fern Bernstein, a national coven leader's recent posting to Prodigy bulletin boards), I feel that Deborah Fenech's perspective is urgent. Therefore, I am clearing the boards for it, so to speak for August and will return to regular formatting for our September issue.

WHO'S REALLY DOING THE "WITCHHUNTING"

by

Debbie Fenech

New [in 1990] to bookstore shelves is a book entitled *Witch Hunt* by Bob & Gretchen Passantino (Thomas Nelson, Inc., Nashville, Tenn. 1990), which appears to be an outright attack upon several writers who are trying to warn Christians about the inroads being made in the secular and Christian

worlds by the New Age Movement. The book makes accusations of witch hunting against Constance Cumbey, Dave Hunt, Texe Marrs, Hal Lindsey and others, and in its accusations, defends Spiritual Counterfeits Project (SCP), Dr. James Dobson, Coalition on Revival (COR), John Wimber, reconstructionism, Christian psychology, World Vision, and others. Curiously missing in the list of those accused are any ministries that hold other than a premillennial position.

The back cover tells us, "In *Witch Hunt*, Bob and Gretchen Passantino urge believers to get their facts straight before labeling someone a 'heretic.' They are convinced that the best defense against a 'witch hunt' mentality in the fight against false teaching is for Christians to use biblical discernment."

In the book the Passantinos give a list of 13 characteristics of witch hunting. Let's take a look at each characteristic, some of the illustrations and the Passantinos to see if they may indeed be guilty of the same techniques for which they accuse others.

Characteristic number 1 (233) is "Lack of association with others in the same field." This is supported with several scripture references and then the following statement is made: (76) "Constance Cumbey rose to popularity as a New Age researcher in 1982 without any measure of overt interaction with established cult apologetic ministries that had already been tracking New Age ideas for years, like Dr. Walter Martin's Christian Research Institute, the Spiritual Counterfeits Project in Berkeley, and Dr. James Bjornstadts's Institute for Contemporary Christianity." The further comments are made: (79) "Constance Cumbey seems unable to see that the validity of her conclusions is being questioned not because they are unpopular, but because she has not demonstrated careful, comprehensive, verified research --which includes checking with others."

It would seem to this reader that Cumbey's real "sin" in this sentence is the not checking with others part (particularly the others that get high recommendations from the Passantinos) because she certainly has demonstrated careful, comprehensive, verified research. As any reader of her books or newsletter is well aware, the statements she makes are well documented by extensive footnotes. In *Witch Hunt* the Passantinos also make use of extensive footnotes and since most of the books they cite happen to be on my bookshelf, I checked many of their references as I read. It was a very informative experience.

Characteristic number 2 is "Lack of understanding of theology and church history." On pages 44-49 the Passantinos present a well-documented statement of the essentials of the Christian faith, a statement which no Christian would disagree with, as far as it goes (the doctrines of heaven, hell and a clear statement of the resurrection seem to be missing). On pages 58-61 they *present the Apostles' and the Nicene Creeds and make this statement "These two creeds and those that developed later concentrated on the same central truths, amplified and clarified in opposition to particular contemporary heresies."

It would appear (see page 70-72) that the Passantinos have a particular interest in trying to prove that scripture and church history are unconcerned with the timing and manner of the Lord's return. They present the 3 major eschatological views amillenialism, postmillennialism, and premillennialism) and the three views as to the timing of the rapture (pre-tribulation, mid-tribulation and post-tribulation). This is followed by (71), "Approximately 27 percent [one-quarter] of the Bible's verses are prophetic. But only eighty-eight of those verses, or less than 3 percent of the Bible, deal with end-time

prophecies, those referring to a time after the New Testament times." The authors do not identify what they mean by "after the New Testament times." In a discussion of "Eschatology, or the study of end times" which includes a statement of 3 different positions as to the time of the Lord's return, an event that is understood to occur in the 'end times', it is most curious to find a statement that only 88 verses in the Bible deal with end-time prophecies. The book of Revelation alone contains 404 verses. (The authors cite J. Barton Payne, *Encyclopedia of Biblical Prophecy* [New York: Harper & Row, 1973.] 13,97.)

Witch hunter characteristic number 3 is "lack of a comprehensive world view." The authors state (80) "Without a solid background of study and education in biblical doctrine, it is very easy to misunderstand the teachings, backgrounds, and worldviews of world religions." The context of this statement is the differences between various religions of the world, not necessarily between Christianity and all other religions (which is the real issue). If the God of the Bible is the only true God and founder of the only true religion and provider of the only way of salvation, then any other god, religion or way of salvation is necessarily inspired and founded by Satan, the enemy of God. Their differences, therefore, are not differences at all but merely different methods of ensnarement perpetrated by the same being. When Jesus commissioned Paul (Acts 26:18) he sent him to the Gentiles "to open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are sanctified by faith that is in me." The Gentiles, all Gentiles, including cultists, occultists and all other non-Christians of our day, are living in darkness under the power of Satan and need to be rescued through hearing the gospel. This is a comprehensive world view.

Witch hunter characteristic number 4 is "error of guilt by association." QUERY: how is it that the "witch hunter" is characterized by lack of association with others in the same field, but then is criticized when he supports his thesis by naming associations of those he is writing about? This seems like a real double standard.

On page 88 of *Witch Hunt* the authors criticize Constance Cumbey for a talk at a Houston prophecy conference in which she 'proved' that SCP "could be" a New Age organization because Revell, who publishes New Age books, published *New Age Rage*. The implication is clearly made that this is a very important reason for Cumbey's views of SCP. *Witch Hunt* does not provide a transcript of the talk cited, therefore it is not possible for me to evaluate the context of the statement. However, I would refer the reader to the New Age Monitor, July 1988 for more information concerning SCP and Cumbey's views of same.

Characteristic number 5 is "obsession with eschatology." The Passantinos defined eschatology as "the study of end times" (70) and Webster defines obsession as "a persistent disturbing preoccupation with an often unreasonable idea or feeling." Since, for the most part, the Passantinos are pointing fingers at published writers who happen to write on the subject of the end times, they may be treading on thin ice by identifying as obsession what may actually be the calling of God. They don't give us a test for establishing a calling, but then, that is something that is determined by the individual authors themselves and God. There is no subject in the Bible that could be considered unreasonable (for a

subject to be unreasonable its author would also have to be unreasonable - we're talking about God himself here), therefore a student of end times or any other Bible subject could not be considered obsessed.

In light of the fact that the Bible does give very specific signs of the time of the end, I for one am very glad that there are Christians who research and print materials on this subject. The Bible is very clear that the coming of the Lord Jesus is the blessed hope of the church and this Christian is very much looking forward to this event.

Witch hunter characteristic number 6 is "error of faulty definition." On page 92 of *Witch Hunt*, Dave Hunt is thoroughly chastised by the Passantinos for his definition of 'sorcery' in *Seduction of Christianity* (page 12). Hunt said, "One word that is often used to encompass all pagan/occult practices is 'sorcery.' In the following pages, when we use that word our intended meaning will be: any attempt to manipulate reality (internal, external, past, present, or future) by various mind-over-matter techniques that run the gamut from alchemy and astrology to positive/possibility thinking."

Please notice that Hunt did not present this as the end-all definition of sorcery - he stated what his intended meaning of the word was to be. His actual definition is 'any attempt to manipulate reality by various mind-over-matter techniques.' What do the Passantinos say? (92) "This definition is so broad that Christians and even the Lord himself could be sorcerers! God is spirit (John 4:24) and his mind certainly has mastery over the material world. Is he a sorcerer? Scripture commands Christians to pray, using their minds and spirits to participate in God's intervention in the world. Is prayer sorcery?" (emphasis added) I challenge the Passantinos to provide chapter and verse for this command. Prayer is not participation in God's intervention in the world, it is asking him to intervene. I would also be interested in seeing any scripture where God uses his mind to take any action in the material world. Genesis clearly says "God said" and "God formed" - not 'God thought.'

On page 90-91 the authors state "One of the most prevalent faulty techniques of persuasion used both in the world and, unfortunately, all too frequently in the Church, is that of expanding one's definition or making it so vague that it can cover anything. If your definition is broad enough or vague enough, you can use it to indict or approve at your whim." The authors go on to discuss a book on apologetics that was based on a word that was unfamiliar to them and state that they finally traced the root of the word in the dictionary to discover what it meant. Maybe we should do the same.

On page 43 the authors give a definition of witch hunting: "Witch hunting is using logic, Scripture, and/or evidence in the wrong ways in a futile effort to identify heresy and protect oneself or others from false belief." According to this definition the people being exposed by this book are using logic, Scripture and evidence. Webster defines logic as "a science that deals with the canons and criteria of validity of inference and demonstration." Jesus said (John 17:17) "thy word (scripture) is truth" and evidence is (Webster) "something that furnishes proof." The Biblical and dictionary definitions of these words causes me to understand that Cumbey, Hunt, et al are indeed writing truth. This then leaves the Passantinos to weakly declare that the truth is being used in the "wrong ways" and moreover that it is "a futile effort to identify heresy." Now Webster defines 'futile' as "serving no useful purpose: completely ineffective." I personally can

state with no reservations whatsoever that the writings of Cumbey and Hunt are definitely not futile because I personally was a dabbler in the occult before I accepted Jesus Christ. I then became a member of two different positive confession churches, one of which was playing around with **inner healing**. *Seduction of Christianity and Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow* caused me personally to reevaluate my doctrine by scripture alone thus leading me to renounce many former beliefs and base my doctrine squarely on scripture and not on wolves in sheeps clothing.

But how does Webster define witch hunting? **"the searching out and deliberate harassment of those (as political opponents) with unpopular views."** It is a certainty that Cumbey, Hunt, et al propound unpopular views, but it seems at least to this Bible reader that they are in very good company. Jesus remarked frequently on the hatred Christians could expect from the world and the Pharisees. Hebrews 11 records how the people of God can expect to be treated. But have those accused by the Passantinos been deliberately searched out and harassed? You be the judge. Read on.

Witch hunter characteristic number 7 is **"error of appeal to inappropriate authority."** On page 96-97 of *Witch Hunt* the authors castigate Dave Hunt for stating (*Seduction* pg 205) "Nobel prize winner Richard Feynman says that 'psychoanalysis is not a science.'" The Passantinos make their point that Feynman won the Nobel prize for physics and his area of expertise is not psychology, psychotherapy or the philosophy of science. They then state of Feynman, "He is entitled to his opinion, but his opinion is not substantiated by experts in the field or by the evidence." This is an incredible statement in light of the fact that in the same passage from *Seduction of Christianity* that they quoted in their accusation of Hunt, Hunt cites New York University professor of psychology Paul Vitz, philosopher of science Karl Popper and research psychiatrist E. Fuller Torrey as being in agreement with Feynman. While Feynman may not be an expert in psychology, he certainly is a scientist and it goes without saying that a scientist is trained in how to determine whether or not a given theory is scientific.

The real question that needs to be asked is: why did the Passantinos imply that Feynman was Hunt's only or even main source for his beliefs about psychology when the context of the quote they cited proves conclusively that this was not the case? **Could it be that the Passantinos are -- GASP! -- guilty of witch hunting?**

Witch hunting characteristic number 8 is **"error of guilt by vocabulary or quote."** On page 103 the Passantinos accuse Cumbey of "bad word counting" while citing her statement in re Tom Sine's use of the phrase "New Age" in *The Mustard Seed Conspiracy*. They state, "But by itself, that vocabulary use of 'New Age' means nothing about Tom Sine's orthodoxy or heresy...The simple repetition of the phrase is apparently sufficient evidence to warrant her condemnation." According to this passage Cumbey's entire case against Sine is based on his use of the phrase "New Age" 150 times. Remarkably, when we check the passage from *Hidden Dangers*, we find that Sine approvingly quotes members of the New Age elite, calls for a New World Order and a New International Economic System, ridicules those who believe the coming of our Lord is imminent, ridicules those who are fearful of the *Humanist Manifesto*, and asserts that missionaries were detrimental to the cultures of the heathen paradises they visited by advocating Western medicine instead of the more 'spiritual' methods of healing previously used in

those lands. This is quite different than labeling someone as a New Ager by counting the number of times he used the phrase "New Age" in his book.

On pages 125-132 the Passantinos make a facetious case against testing vocabularies to determine the orthodoxy of any particular teacher. A vocabulary, particularly one that contains unusual words, indicates to what a person gives his attention. For example, a Bible student will use language that reflects what he studies, a scientist will use words that only another scientist can fully understand, a lawyer will use Latin and a New Ager will use terminology peculiar to his field of interest.

Characteristic number 8 also cited **error of guilt by quote**. It should be recognized that a quote, particularly one that is written or recorded, is part of the historical record, and as such is seen as the writer's/speaker's views at the time he made the statement. A quote therefore is evidence and as such it is not wrongful to use it in discussing the beliefs of any particular person. Jesus had this to say about the importance of the words we use and what we say, "O generation of vipers, how can ye, being evil, speak good things? for out of the abundance of the heart the mouth speaketh. A good man out of the good treasure of the heart bringeth forth good things; and an evil man out of the evil treasure bringeth forth evil things. But I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgement. For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned." (Matthew 12:34-37 emphasis added) Obviously, Jesus attaches a far greater importance to words than do the Passantinos!

There is a great difference between using a quote and using a quote out of context. A quote used in context is fair and even handed. A quote taken out of context can at best be seen as ignorance on the quoter's part, and at worst is an attempt to twist the words of the person being quoted to prove that he is stating something other than what he is actually stating. **Shamefully, the Passantinos have used out of context or incomplete quotes throughout Witch Hunt in their attempt to discredit those they accuse of witch hunting.**

Witch hunting characteristic number 9 is **"error of self-refutation and contradiction."** On pages 108-111 they attempt to make a case against Cumbey by quoting various places in *Hidden Dangers* where she states that 'The Plan' was both open and hidden before and after 1975. When checking the references cited, it is fair to note that she did indeed make these kinds of statements, but it also must be noted that in each instance Cumbey made it plain that The Plan was to be open to those who would be sympathetic and hidden from those who would oppose it. **Could we say that the Passantinos are guilty of witch hunting characteristic number 9?** We would have to agree that they are because to this point we have seen how they have violated other forbidden characteristics thus they have contradicted themselves.

Witch hunting characteristic number 10 is **"error of assuming similar means same."** The authors state on page 112, "All Christians agree that the underlying inspiration of all cults, including Mormonism, is Satan, the father of lies (John 8:44). However, this does not mean that every thought of every cultist is automatically demonized, or derived from Satan." The person accused under this characteristic is ex-Mormon Ed Decker. The authors state (112), "He thinks the spires on Mormon temples and chapels look like upside down nails, and are pointed toward the sky, beyond which is heaven, the dwelling place of God. So, these must be satanic

symbols suggesting that Mormonism will destroy God. His conclusion: Mormon spires are satanic nails in disguise, proving that Mormonism is satanic."

Since I do not own the book cited, I cannot comment on whether or not it actually says what it is represented to say. I can, however, comment on the Passantinos interpretation of Gen. 3:5 which is discussed in the same passage.

They state (page 111): "Some researchers on the New Age Movement interpret Genesis 3:5 to mean Satan promised Adam and Eve godhood. Then they point to practically any cult or religion that identifies man as deity or able to progress (evolve) into godhood and say, 'That's the same lie Satan propagated in the Garden.'" They go on to explain that "Satan lied in telling Eve that God did not say they would die from eating the forbidden fruit. He then offered his own lying explanation for God's prohibition: God's moral deficiencies (perhaps jealousy) made him afraid for them to be like him in knowing good and evil by their own standards. God's threat was fulfilled in Genesis 3:22, where God said that Adam and Eve had become like him--in knowing good and evil." (emphasis in original)

Let's look at the scripture. Genesis 3:4-5 says, "And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die: for God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil."

The authors state that Satan lied in telling Eve that God did not say they would die from eating the forbidden fruit. When I read the verse, I don't see the word 'God' in it at all. I see that Satan lied in telling Eve that she would not surely die. Further, in the statement "for God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof" Satan lied in claiming to know the mind of God. In the phrase, "then your eyes shall be opened," Satan lied in promising something that God never mentioned. As a matter of fact, Jesus often said "He that hath ears to hear, let him hear" and never said "He that hath eyes to see, let him see." In Rev. 3:18 he counseled the Laodiceans to anoint their eyes with eyesalve so they could see. Apparently this open eyes business that Satan so benevolently bestowed on the human race is not something to be desired. "And ye shall be as gods" - Satan's lie that man would become as gods. "Knowing good and evil." A true statement, according to Gen. 3:22, but not something to be desired, and definitely not a threat made by God previous to the fall as the Passantinos imply. God's threat was 'You shall surely die' and Satan's first in a string of lies was 'You shall not surely die.'

Witch hunter characteristic number 11 is **"error of ignoring other possible options."** This time the target is Texe Marrs and he is accused for asserting that Tara Center's plan for bringing forth Maitreya is the one and only possible fulfillment of the coming Antichrist. The Passantinos state, "Here is Marrs's false alternative. He assumes only one foundation: the Tara Center plan must be the Antichrist's plan. He does not allow for any other organization or time period to be the source of the Antichrist's plan. Then, based on a single alternative, he assumes that the only reason it did not work was because God restrained it for an unknown length of time. However, if we do not affirm Marrs's assumption that this plan must be the Antichrist's plan, then we can see that perhaps the Tara Center plan did not work because it was not the plan prophesied in Scripture as the Antichrist's assumption of power." Did you notice that Texe Marrs is accused of assuming only one foundation: the Tara Center plan must be the Antichrist's plan? How surprised I was to open Dark Secrets of the

New Age to the cited page and read the paragraph following the one the Passantinos quoted. Marrs goes on to state, "So for the past several decades Satan has been forced to practice dry runs for the main event. The aborted ascension to power of the Tara Center's Lord Maitreya is one example of a dress rehearsal. There have been -- and may be -- many others. Satan's Plan for a One World Religion and a One World Government will eventually succeed, but only according to God's timetable." As we can see from the foregoing quote, Texe Marrs takes quite a different position than that which the Passantinos tried to pin on him.

Witch hunting characteristic number 12 is **"error of using false analogies."** On pages 118-119 the authors cite magicians Danny Korem and Andre Kole for proving that most of the psychic phenomena for which there is enough evidence to be conclusive can be shown to be either natural or trickery. The authors state, "This same kind of conclusion appears over and over in Korem's and Kole's books: we can duplicate it naturally, so it must not be supernatural. This faulty analogy fails to take into account that even though the phenomenon can be duplicated naturally, and even though the majority of the occurrences of the phenomenon are generated naturally, that does not prove it is 'simply,' or in every case, generated naturally." I would agree with the authors that this is a false analogy.

However, on page 133 of their book they make use of a false analogy for illustrative purposes. They criticize Cumbey for stating on page 154 of *Hidden Dangers* that World Vision and its president, Stanley Mooneyham, are in favor of

'family planning services' and urged open forum discussions on 'birth control, abortion, artificial insemination, genetic control, and death control.' To Cumbey, this indicates Mooneyham's endorsement of all of these practices. But nowhere did she prove it. In fact, she could be indicted by this same line of reasoning. As a trial lawyer, Cumbey was a member and officer of the National Association of Women Lawyers which at that same time actively endorsed, supported, and published literature in favor of women's rights to abortion.

I must point out that, while the Passantinos did not attempt to portray Cumbey as pro-death, there are some major differences between the two individuals and the two organizations cited. World Vision is supposed to be a Christian organization, the National Association of Women Lawyers is not. Mooneyham was the president (and spokesman?) for World Vision, Cumbey was the Secretary of her organization. Christian organizations are expected to take moral positions on issues, legal organizations are expected to take legal positions. In addition, the context of Mooneyham's statement quoted in *Hidden Dangers* is important. Here it is in its entirety. You decide if it is for or against a pro-life stance.

Now another theme. Population. That's a touchy one. No one likes to be told how many little feet can patter around in his own house. But just that is the big question. How many feet per square foot can this world support? If you see this problem as critical where you live, then it would be profitable to investigate the availability of family planning services in your community. Press for adequate facilities if necessary. Insist on open forum discussions on birth control, abortion, artificial insemination, genetic control and death control

in your church or club programs. Some of these subjects, unfortunately, seem to be outside the orbit of evangelical Christian concerns.

The authors use another analogy in *Witch Hunt* in defense of reconstructionism. The context of the discussion is whether or not reconstructionists plan to implement a Christian society by force, which the Passantinos deny. They give this analogy on page 196:

For example, if someone were attacking your young child with a butcher knife, you would have no compunction about intervening physically and stopping the attack even if you had to hurt the attacker to do it. You have imposed your value (innocent children should not be butchered) on another. But your immediate goal, even as a Christian, was not to save the attacker but to defend your child. Once your child is safe, because of your imposition of your value on the attacker, then you can visit the attacker in jail and preach the gospel to him--to bring him to salvation. Confusion of ideas is no way to prove heresy.

Now, to keep this analogy in context, I would have to assume that the defender of the child is the reconstructionist leader, the child is a defenseless reconstructionist believer and the attacker is a non-reconstructionist. The analogy does not tell us why the attacker is attacking the child, only that he is, that he will be physically stopped for doing so, he will then be jailed where he will be visited by the defender who will preach the gospel to him to bring him to salvation. Scary analogy in light of the possibility that the reconstructionists may plan to implement their 'Christian' society by force!

Witch hunting characteristic number 13 is **"ignorance of the value of general revelation."** I don't believe that any of the accused are guilty of this error - their work simply doesn't support it.

The Passantinos make a great issue of proper biblical discernment. Jesus used the word 'discern' in Matt. 16:2-3 when he said, "When it is evening, ye say, It will be fair weather: for the sky is red. And in the morning, It will be foul weather to day: for the sky is red and lowering. O ye hypocrites, ye can discern the face of the sky; but can ye not discern the signs of the times?" Apparently Jesus expected the Pharisees and Sadducees to discern (*Strong: diakrino* - separate thoroughly) the signs of the times. Further, he must have expected them to do so from scripture and, since they hadn't, he called them hypocrites! Are we Christians any better than the Pharisees and Sadducees if we fail to study and discern current events in light of scripture?

The word 'discern' is used in several New Testament scriptures and, while *Strong* assigns different definitions to the different Greek words used, they each mean essentially the same thing. In Luke 12:56 the Greek word used is *dokimazo*, definition: to test; and in Hebrews 5:14 and I Corinthians 12:10 the Greek word is *diakrasis*, definition: judicial estimation. We definitely are to exercise proper Biblical discernment, but it is to be Biblical discernment, not a mere blind acceptance of the precepts and teachings of any person or ministry, no matter what claims they may make for themselves.

While there certainly are differences in view point of the sequence and timing of end time events, it is important that error be pointed out. The fact is the book of Revelation describes an end time religion

identified as the Great Harlot and those who hold a premillennial position supported by scripture can see the formation of this religious system today. If they really believe this, and they do, and if they have the correct interpretation, it would be sinful for them to keep their silence because millions would then be condemned to hell without having been warned.

Christian unity is a fine thing, but it needs to be tempered by this: just naming the name of Jesus isn't enough - the question must be, *what* Jesus are they serving? If it isn't the Jesus revealed in the Bible then they are trusting in a false security because they are serving another god who preaches a different gospel. And that means damnation. Jesus said in Matthew 7:13-23:

"Enter ye in at the strait gate: for wide [is] the gate, and broad [is] the way, that leadeth to destruction, and many there be which go in there at: Because strait [is] the gate, and narrow [is] the way, which leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it. Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves. Ye shall know them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles? Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit. A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither [can] a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit. Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire. Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them. Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity."

There are a great many additional criticisms that I could make of the book *Witch Hunt*, but I will conclude with this statement: In an attempt to expose others as being witch hunters the authors have instead indicted themselves by displaying bad fruit. Since simply checking the sources of their quotes proves that the conclusions drawn from the quotes are erroneous, the entire book should be dismissed without a second thought.

* * *

I hope you found Debbie's analysis as interesting as I did. I will be back in September and we will pick up on William Law, the English mystic and his proponents in our September *Monitor*. If you have anything that I should know about, please try to reach me, if at all possible on PRODIGY or my computer BBS.

Sincerely,

Constance E. Cumbey
CONSTANCE E. CUMBEY

Dear Reader,

Constance Cumbey will be speaking in Ortonville, Michigan on Friday, Sept. 13th, 1991 at the home of Norm and Cindy Mallory. This fellowship is sponsored by the Friends of Revelation Theater. The cost will be an \$8.00 suggested donation at the door. I will be speaking at the same location on the subject of Rock & Roll music, the Occult, and the rise of anti-Christ on Friday, Sept. 27th (suggested donation of \$3.00) Doors open at 6:45 pm and the presentation starts at 7:30 pm for both dates.

The Mallory's home is located a few miles out of Ortonville, Michigan.

Take I-75 to exit 91 (M-15). Go North (turn right, if coming from Detroit) on M-15 and go just past the town of Ortonville to Oakwood Road (from I-75 to Oakwood is approx. 10 miles - there is a cemetery near the intersection of M-15 and Oakwood). Go right (East) on Oakwood Road to Sands Road. Turn left (North) onto Sands Road and take it to State Park Road (dirt road). Go left (West) on State Park to the 3rd big bend in the road. The Address is 450 State Park Road. Call for directions, if lost: (313)-627-6565.

We are also aware of the fact that many of our readers are interested in Kingdom Now, Reconstructionist, and Dominion Theology, such as the Kansas City Fellowship (Prophets) Movement. An

excellent monthly publication is available on these subjects entitled, *Discernment*. For more information on receiving this well documented publication contact:

Jewel van derMerwe
Discernment
c/o Holly Assembly
104 Lake Street
Holly, MI 48442

We also have two excellent booklets available on this same subject by Jewel entitled, *The New Order*, and *Joel's Army*. They are \$4.00 + \$1.25 S&H per booklet. (contact Pointe Publishers for quantity discounts.)

This is the conclusion of the 4th *New Age Monitor*. Thank you for your love, support, and prayers. Until next month, God bless.

Love in Christ,



Mark Spaulding
President, Pointe Publishers

Pointe Publishers
P.O. Box 3078
Centerline, MI 48015-0078